



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,668	08/12/2005	Nir Padan	4138/41	8774
67305 7590 07/09/2009 SOROKER-AGMON, ADVOCATE AND PATENT ATTORNEYS NOLTON HOUSE, 14 SHENKAR STREET HERZELIYA PITUACH, 46725 ISRAEL				
EXAMINER BONZELL, PHILIP J				
ART UNIT 3644		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 07/09/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/516,668

Applicant(s)

PADAN, NIR

Examiner

PHILIP J. BONZELL

Art Unit

3644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2009.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-12 and 16-36 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-12, 16-29 and 36 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the dual functionality external fuel tank carrier pylon (claim 1, line 5), single functionality external fuel tank carrier pylon (claim 1, line 8), stores transfer kit (claim 1, line 13), uninhabited aerial vehicle (claim 10, line 2), civilian aircraft (claim 11, line 2), and multi-role rotary-wing aircraft (claim 12, line 2) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 objected to because of the following informalities: in line 13, "signal link an" should be changed to --signal line linking an-- so as to be grammatically correct. Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claim 18 objected to because of the following informalities: --pylon-- is missing in between "carrier" and "is". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

a. For Claim 28, the disclosed Stores Transfer Kit is not operative to transfer electronic countermeasure between two stores carriers as the only structure of

the Stores Transfer Kit is a fuel line and a fuel control line. There is no structure claimed or taught in the drawings or specification that would allow one of ordinary skill in the art to know how to transfer electronic countermeasures between stores.

b. For Claim 29, the disclosed Stores Transfer Kit is not operative to transfer projectiles between two stores carriers as the only structure of the Stores Transfer Kit is a fuel line, a fuel control line, and aerodynamic shaped external envelope, an extension fuel line, an extension compressed air line, and extension electric power and signal. There is no structure claimed or taught in the drawings or specification that would allow one of ordinary skill in the art to know how to transfer projectiles between stores.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 16-29 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

c. For Claim 1, in lines 8 and 10, a "single functionality external fuel tank carrier pylon" is claimed, it is not clear and therefore indefinite as to if this is the same pylon or if there are two distinct single functionality external fuel tank carrier pylons as claimed.

- d. For Claim 1, in line 24 a "pylon" is claimed, it is not clear and therefore indefinite as to if this is a new pylon or if it is one of the previous pylons since it is carrying an external fuel tank that has been previously claimed.
- e. For Claim 2, line 13 states "at least one fuel connector" it is not clear and therefore indefinite if this is the same fuel connector as in line 4 or if it is a different connector for the dual functionality external fuel tank carrier pylon.
- f. For Claim 2, line 16 states "at least one compressed air connector" it is not clear and therefore indefinite if this is the same compressed air connector as in line 7 or if it is a different connector for the dual functionality external fuel tank carrier pylon.
- g. For Claim 2, line 20 states "at least one electric power and signal connector" it is not clear and therefore indefinite if this is the same fuel connector as in line 10 or if it is a different connector for the dual functionality external fuel tank carrier pylon.
- h. For Claim 16, line 2 states "a standard external fuel tank carrier pylon" which is indefinite as the term "standard" is not defined or explained in the claim. What is "standard" is it any pylon?
- i. For Claim 17, line 2 states that the pylon is now "novel" which was previously defined as "standard" in claim 16. It is not clear how something can be both novel and standard.

6. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the fuel transfer system" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
7. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the electrical control system" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 16-27 and 36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIP J. BONZELL whose telephone number is (571)270-3663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-5;.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mansen can be reached on (571)272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. J. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 3644

/Michael R Mansen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3644

pjb